

Dirac Hamiltonian with Imaginary Mass and Induced Helicity–Dependence by Indefinite Metric

U. D. Jentschura

*Department of Physics, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409-0640, USA and
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Philosophenweg 16, 69020 Heidelberg, Germany*

It is of general theoretical interest to investigate the properties of superluminal matter wave equations for spin one-half particles. One can either enforce superluminal propagation by an explicit substitution of the real mass term for an imaginary mass, or one can use a matrix representation of the imaginary unit that multiplies the mass term. The latter leads to the tachyonic Dirac equation, while the equation obtained by the substitution $m \rightarrow im$ in the Dirac equation is naturally referred to as the imaginary-mass Dirac equation. Both the tachyonic as well as the imaginary-mass Dirac Hamiltonians commute with the helicity operator. Both Hamiltonians are pseudo-Hermitian and also possess additional modified pseudo-Hermitian properties, leading to constraints on the resonance eigenvalues. Here, by an explicit calculation, we show that specific sum rules over the spectrum hold for the wave functions corresponding to the well-defined real energy eigenvalues and complex resonance and anti-resonance energies. In the quantized imaginary-mass Dirac field, one-particle states of right-handed helicity acquire a negative norm (“indefinite metric”) and can be excluded from the physical spectrum by a Gupta–Bleuler type condition.

PACS numbers: 95.85.Ry, 11.10.-z, 03.70.+k

I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

A. Theory and Experiment

The superluminal propagation of matter waves is a highly intriguing subject which is not without controversy. The subluminal (tardyonic) energy-momentum relation $E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$ needs to be changed to the superluminal (tachyonic) dispersion relation $E^2 = \vec{p}^2 - m^2$. Recently, it has been argued that the tachyonic Dirac equation [1, 2] provides for a convenient framework for the description of tachyonic particles; in this equation, the mass is multiplied by a matrix representation of the imaginary unit. Here, starting from the Dirac Hamiltonian, we explore a Dirac equation where the mass is explicitly multiplied by the imaginary unit and we find certain fundamental relations for the corresponding spin-1/2 field theory. We also explore certain algebraic properties of modified Dirac theories with an imaginary mass term, and pertaining consequences for the eigenvalue spectrum of the imaginary-mass Dirac Hamiltonian. The tachyonic formulation [3–8] of a fundamental field theory is the only one compatible with Lorentz invariance, and therefore, compatible with special relativity. We exclusively use this concept in the following and avoid any breaking of Lorentz invariance.

According to the summary overview presented in Ref. [9], low-energy experiments have determined the neutrino mass square to be in the range of a few eV^2 . The best estimate for the neutrino mass square has been determined as negative in all experiments [10–16], but the result has been consistent with a vanishing neutrino mass within experimental error bars. In direct measurements of the neutrino velocity [17–19], the best estimate derived from experimental data has been su-

perluminal ($v > c$), but again, consistent with the hypothesis $v = c$ within experimental error bars (see also Ref. [9] or Table 1 of Ref. [20]). The OPERA collaboration [21] has indicated a preliminary, revised result of $(v - c)/c = (2.7 \pm 3.1(\text{stat})_{-2.8}^{+3.8}(\text{sys.})) \times 10^{-6}$, which (just like all other available experimental results) neither excludes subluminal nor superluminal propagation.

The neutrino is generally regarded as the most prominent candidate for a superluminal particle in the low-energy domain [20, 22–25]. However, the existence of conceivable superluminal particles in hitherto unexplored kinematic regions cannot be excluded, either; our study is of theoretical nature and not tied to a specific particle. It has recently been argued [1, 2] that the tachyonic theory of spin-1/2 particles is easier to implement as compared to spinless particles, and we here continue this line of thought by analyzing a theory where the imaginary mass is used explicitly in the Dirac equation, rather than a matrix representation thereof. The latter has been used in Refs. [1, 2, 22, 26, 27]. We use natural units with $\hbar = c = \epsilon_0 = 1$.

B. Theoretical Foundations

It is useful to recall that the subluminal (tardyonic) Dirac Hamiltonian H_D reads

$$H_D = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m. \quad (1)$$

Here, \vec{p} is the momentum operator. We use the Dirac matrices in the standard Dirac representation ($\vec{\alpha} = \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma}$, and $\beta = \gamma^0$),

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The Hamiltonian H_D can be modified into a Hamiltonian describing superluminal (tachyonic) particles by the simple replacement $m \rightarrow im$ (see Ref. [28]), leading to the imaginary-mass Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H' = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + i\beta m. \quad (3)$$

Alternatively, one can choose a matrix representation of the imaginary unit, and write the tachyonic Dirac Hamiltonian [1, 2, 22, 26, 27] as

$$H_5 = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta \gamma^5 m, \quad (4)$$

with $(\beta \gamma^5)^2 = -\mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4}$ and

$$\gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Both H' and H_5 are pseudo-Hermitian, which implies that eigenvalues are either real or come in complex-conjugate pairs, E and E^* . Here, we also show that H' and H_5 fulfill additional, modified pseudo-Hermiticity conditions (“quasi-pseudo-Hermiticity”), which allow us to further conclude that if E is a resonance eigenvalue, so is $-E^*$, and thus, the eigenvalues either come in (real) pairs E and $-E^*$, or they occur in the rectangular complex configuration E , E^* , $-E$, and $-E^*$. The quantization of the imaginary-mass Dirac theory naturally leads to helicity-dependent anticommutators.

We proceed as follows. In Sec. II, we derive a few algebraic properties of the Hamiltonians H' and H_5 which determine the general properties of their spectra. The field theory defined by the Hamiltonian H' is quantized in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we analyze the Hamiltonian H'' which is obtained from (3) by the replacement $m \rightarrow -\tilde{m}$. The quantization of the imaginary-mass Dirac theory is shown to yield rather interesting insight into helicity-dependent anticommutators. Conclusions are reserved for Sec. V.

II. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES AND EIGENVALUES

It is useful to derive a few algebraic properties of H_5 and H' which determine the structure of the spectra of these Hamiltonians. We explicitly refer to the coordinate-space representations ($\vec{p} = -i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$)

$$H'(\vec{r}) = -i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + i\beta m, \quad (6)$$

and

$$H_5(\vec{r}) = -i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \beta \gamma^5 m. \quad (7)$$

We use the following matrices,

$$\eta = \gamma^5, \quad \chi = \gamma^0, \quad \rho = \gamma^0 \gamma^5. \quad (8)$$

These fulfill $\eta^{-1} = \eta$, $\rho^{-1} = \gamma^5 \gamma^0 = -\rho$, and $\chi^{-1} = \chi$. By an elementary calculation, we infer that

$$H'(\vec{r}) = \eta H'^+(\vec{r}) \eta^{-1}, \quad (9a)$$

$$H'(\vec{r}) = -\chi H'^+(\vec{r}) \chi^{-1}, \quad (9b)$$

$$H_5(\vec{r}) = \eta H_5^+(\vec{r}) \eta^{-1}, \quad (9c)$$

$$H_5(\vec{r}) = -\rho H_5^+(\vec{r}) \rho^{-1}, \quad (9d)$$

where the superscript $+$ denotes the Hermitian adjoint. The relations (9a) and (9c) imply the pseudo-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonians H' and H_5 , respectively, in the sense of Refs. [29–38]. As shown in Refs. [1, 29], for a pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian, if E is a resonance eigenvalue, so is E^* . Indeed, it has been shown in Ref. [2] that H_5 has both real eigenvalues (corresponding to plane-wave solutions of positive and negative energy), and also resonances and anti-resonances whose resonance energies are manifestly complex. The resonances correspond to evanescent waves whose wavelength is too long to support superluminal propagation; these waves therefore decay exponentially.

In comparison to the structure of Eqs. (9a) and (9c), the relations (9b) and (9d) feature an additional minus sign. They correspond to additional “quasi-pseudo-Hermitian” properties of H' and H_5 . These additional properties imply that if E is a resonance eigenvalue, so is $-E^*$. This can be shown as follows. Let ψ be an eigenfunction of a general Hamiltonian H' with eigenvalue E . Then, because the spectrum of the Hermitian adjoint of an operator consists of the complex-conjugate eigenvalues, there exists a wavefunction ϕ with the property

$$H'^+ \phi = E^* \phi \quad (10)$$

from which we infer that

$$(\chi H'^+ \chi'^{-1}) \chi \phi = E^* \chi \phi, \quad (11)$$

and so, in view of Eq. (9b), we have $H'(\chi \phi) = -E^*(\chi \phi)$. So, if E is a resonance eigenvalue of H' , so is $-E^*$, with a corresponding eigenvector $(\chi \phi)$. The same property is implied for H_5 by Eq. (9d). If E is real, then Eqs. (9b) and (9d) imply that energy eigenvalues come in pairs E and $-E$, whereas if they are manifestly complex, then they exhibit a rectangular configuration (in the complex plane) consisting of E , $-E$, E^* and $-E^*$.

III. QUANTIZATION AND SPIN SUMS

First, we observe that both H' and H_5 commute with the helicity operator,

$$[\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}, H'] = [\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}, H_5] = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\vec{\Sigma} = \gamma^5 \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

The quantization of the tachyonic theory defined by the Hamiltonian H_5 has been discussed in Ref. [2]. Here, we are concerned with the Hamiltonian H' . The corresponding covariant form the imaginary-mass Dirac equation reads as

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - im)\psi(x) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Of course, it could be argued that the solutions of the imaginary-mass Dirac equation can be written down immediately, by simply replacing $m \rightarrow im$ in the well-known bispinor solutions of the ordinary Dirac equation, as given in Chapter 2 of Ref. [39]. However, this procedure does not lead to compact formulas when one tries to develop the formalism further. A brief, sketchy, illustrative remark is in order. According to Eq. (2.40) of Ref. [39], the spin sum over the positive-energy states of the tardyonic (ordinary) Dirac equation leads to the expression

$$\frac{1}{2m(m+E)} (\not{k} + m) \frac{1+\gamma^0}{2} (\not{k} + m) = \frac{\not{k} + m}{2m}, \quad (15)$$

where the latter term is the projector onto positive-energy states. Here, $\not{k} = \gamma^\mu k_\mu$ is the Feynman dagger. When replacing $m \rightarrow im$ in the solution of the Dirac equation given in Eq. (2.37) of Ref. [39], and performing the same spin sum over positive-energy solutions of the form $\sum_\alpha u^{(\alpha)} \otimes \bar{u}^{(\alpha)}$ (using the notation of Ref. [39]), one has to replace $m \rightarrow im$ for the spinors and $m \rightarrow -im$ for the Dirac adjoint bispinors. But then,

$$\frac{1}{2m(m+E)} (\not{k} + im) \frac{1+\gamma^0}{2} (\not{k} - im) \neq \frac{\not{k} + im}{2m}, \quad (16)$$

which is not equal to a compact projector form, as an elementary calculation shows. By contrast, compact formulas for sums over spins can be obtained in the helicity basis, as shown in the following.

For tachyonic particles, in analogy to the formalism developed in Ref. [2], it appears advantageous to use the helicity basis for the construction of the elementary solutions. We recall that the eigenfunctions of the operator $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}$ are given by

$$a_+(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \\ \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_-(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos(\frac{\theta}{2}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

where θ and φ constitute the polar and azimuthal angles of the wave vector \vec{k} , with $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}) a_\pm(\vec{k}) = \pm |\vec{k}| a_\pm(\vec{k})$. We also recall the normalized positive-energy chirality and helicity eigenspinors of the massless Dirac equation as

follows ($C = i\gamma^2 \gamma^0$),

$$u_+(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} a_+(\vec{k}) \\ a_+(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_-(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} a_-(\vec{k}) \\ -a_-(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18a)$$

$$v_+(\vec{k}) = C \bar{u}_-(\vec{k})^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -a_+(\vec{k}) \\ -a_+(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix} = -u_+(\vec{k}), \quad (18b)$$

$$v_-(\vec{k}) = C \bar{u}_+(\vec{k})^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -a_-(\vec{k}) \\ a_-(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix} = -u_-(\vec{k}). \quad (18c)$$

Canonically, the subscripts \pm of the u and v spinors correspond to the chirality (eigenvalue of γ^5), which (in the massless limit) is equal to helicity for positive-energy eigenstates, and equal to the negative of the chirality for negative-energy eigenstates. This is because the positive- and negative-energy solutions are multiplied by $\exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})$ and $\exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})$, respectively [see Eq. (22) below]. Using the relation $(\not{k} + im)(\not{k} - im) = k^2 + m^2 = E^2 - \vec{k}^2 + m^2$, we find

$$U'_+(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{E+im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \\ \sqrt{\frac{E-im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19a)$$

$$U'_-(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{E+im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \\ -\sqrt{\frac{E-im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19b)$$

The massless limit $m \rightarrow 0$ ($E \rightarrow |\vec{k}|$) is recovered as $U'_+(\vec{k}) \rightarrow u_+(\vec{k})$ and $U'_-(\vec{k}) \rightarrow u_-(\vec{k})$. The negative-energy eigenstates of the imaginary-mass Dirac equation are given as

$$V'_+(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{E-im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \\ -\sqrt{\frac{E+im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20a)$$

$$V'_-(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{E-im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \\ \sqrt{\frac{E+im}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20b)$$

In the massless limit, the solutions $v_+(\vec{k})$ and $v_-(\vec{k})$ are recovered, $V'_+(\vec{k}) \rightarrow v_+(\vec{k})$ and $V'_-(\vec{k}) \rightarrow v_-(\vec{k})$. The

states are normalized with respect to the condition

$$\begin{aligned} U_+^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) U_+^{\prime-}(\vec{k}) &= U_-^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) U_-^{\prime-}(\vec{k}) = 1, \\ V_+^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) V_+^{\prime-}(\vec{k}) &= V_-^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) V_-^{\prime-}(\vec{k}) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The positive- and negative-energy solutions of the imaginary-mass Dirac equation are thus given as

$$\Psi(x) = U_{\pm}^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad (22a)$$

$$\Phi(x) = V_{\pm}^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x}, \quad (22b)$$

Here, Ψ is a solution for positive energy, and Φ constitutes a solution for negative energy. All above formulas are valid for $|\vec{k}| \geq m$, so that $E = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 - m^2}$ is real rather than complex. For $|\vec{k}| < m$, one encounters resonances, which complete the spectrum. These are derived from Eqs. (19) and (20) by the identification

$$E = \pm \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 - m^2 - i\epsilon} = \mp i \frac{\Gamma}{2}, \quad (23a)$$

$$\Gamma = 2 \sqrt{m^2 - \vec{k}^2}, \quad |\vec{k}| < m. \quad (23b)$$

The Dirac adjoint is $\bar{U}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = U_{\sigma}^{\prime+}(\vec{k}) \gamma^0$. By an elementary calculation, one shows that $\bar{U}_{+}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) U_{+}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = \bar{U}_{-}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) U_{-}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = \bar{V}_{+}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) V_{+}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = \bar{V}_{-}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) V_{-}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = 0$. This can otherwise be seen as follows. One first realizes that the adjoint equation of $(\not{k} - im) U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = 0$ reads as $U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) (\not{k} + im) = 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) &= \frac{1}{2im} U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) (im + im) U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2im} U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) (-\not{k} + \not{k}) U_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Here, we have used the adjoint equation for the ‘first’ im and the original form of the imaginary-mass Dirac equation for the ‘second’ im .

In analogy to Ref. [2], we define the \mathcal{U}' and \mathcal{V}' bispinors in the following normalization,

$$\mathcal{U}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = \left(\frac{|\vec{k}|}{m} \right)^{1/2} U_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}), \quad (25a)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) = \left(\frac{|\vec{k}|}{m} \right)^{1/2} V_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}). \quad (25b)$$

Under charge conjugation, the spinors transform as $C\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k})^T = -\mathcal{U}_{\mp}^{\prime}(\vec{k})$ and $C\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\pm}^{\prime}(\vec{k})^T = -\mathcal{V}_{\mp}^{\prime}(\vec{k})$. In anal-

ogy with Ref. [2], we write the field operator as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m}{E} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left(b_{\sigma}(k) \mathcal{U}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b_{\sigma}(-k) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Note that the $i\epsilon$ prescription selects the resonances (as analytic continuations of the positive-energy solutions) and antiresonances (as analytic continuations of the negative-energy solutions). This ensures that the waves are evanescent in their respective propagation direction in time. The second term in the sum in Eq. (26) describes the absorption of a negative-energy tachyonic particle that propagates backward in time; this process is of course equivalent to the emission of a positive-energy antiparticle propagating forward in time by the Feinberg–Sudarshan reinterpretation principle, as explained in Ref. [2]. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m}{E} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left(b_{\sigma}(k) \mathcal{U}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_{\sigma}^{+}(k) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where d_{σ}^{+} creates antiparticles. For the imaginary-mass formalism, we postulate the same anticommutators as in Ref. [2] for the tachyonic Dirac equation,

$$\{b_{\sigma}(k), b_{\rho}(k')\} = \{b_{\sigma}^{+}(k), b_{\rho}^{+}(k')\} = 0, \quad (28a)$$

$$\{d_{\sigma}(k), d_{\rho}(k')\} = \{d_{\sigma}^{+}(k), d_{\rho}^{+}(k')\} = 0. \quad (28b)$$

The nonvanishing anticommutators read as follows,

$$\{b_{\sigma}(k), b_{\rho}^{+}(k')\} = (-\sigma) (2\pi)^3 \frac{E}{m} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \delta_{\sigma\rho}, \quad (29a)$$

$$\{d_{\sigma}(k), d_{\rho}^{+}(k')\} = (-\sigma) (2\pi)^3 \frac{E}{m} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \delta_{\sigma\rho}. \quad (29b)$$

The σ -dependent anticommutator implies that the norm of the right-handed helicity (positive chirality) neutrino one-particle state is negative, and that the right-handed helicity particle state has negative norm and can be excluded from the physical spectrum if one imposes a Gupta–Bleuler type condition (according to Chapter 3 of Ref. [39]). Likewise, antiparticles described by the imaginary-mass formalism can only exist in the right-handed helicity state. The matrix-valued tachyonic field anticommutator reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi(x), \bar{\psi}(y)\} &= \langle 0 | \{\psi(x), \bar{\psi}(y)\} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m}{E} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left(e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} (-\sigma) \mathcal{U}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{ik \cdot (x-y)} (-\sigma) \mathcal{V}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\sigma}^{\prime}(\vec{k}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where σ is the helicity (for positive-energy states) and the negative of the helicity (for negative-energy states) and \otimes is the tensor product in bispinor space. The following two relations

$$\sum_{\sigma} (-\sigma) \mathcal{U}'_{\sigma}(\vec{k}) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{U}}'_{\sigma}(\vec{k}) \gamma^5 = \frac{\not{k} + i m}{2m}, \quad (31a)$$

$$\sum_{\sigma} (-\sigma) \mathcal{V}'_{\sigma}(\vec{k}) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{V}}'_{\sigma}(\vec{k}) \gamma^5 = \frac{\not{k} - i m}{2m}, \quad (31b)$$

are analogous to those found for the bispinor solutions of the tachyonic Dirac described in Ref. [1]. Note that the factors $(-\sigma)$ in these equations are due to the quantization conditions (28). Using Eq. (31), we can derive the compact result,

$$\{\psi(x), \overline{\psi}(y)\} \gamma^5 = (i \not{\partial} + i m) i \Delta(x - y), \quad (32)$$

where $\Delta(x - y)$ is the distribution encountered in Eqs. (3.55) and (3.56) of Ref. [39],

$$i \Delta(x - y) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E} \left(e^{-ik \cdot (x - y)} - e^{ik \cdot (x - y)} \right). \quad (33)$$

The equal-time anticommutator of the fields thus reads as $\{\psi(x), \overline{\psi}(y)\} \gamma^5|_{x_0=y_0} = \gamma^0 \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{s})$, with the full, unfiltered Dirac- δ function and $x = (t, \vec{r})$ as well as $y = (t, \vec{s})$ and the time $x_0 = y_0 = t$. Furthermore, with the help of Eqs. (27) and (31), one obtains the propagator S' (time-ordered product),

$$\langle 0 | T \psi(x) \overline{\psi}(y) \gamma^5 | 0 \rangle = i S'(x - y), \quad (34a)$$

$$S'(x - y) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot (x - y)} \frac{\not{k} + i m}{k^2 + m^2 + i \epsilon}. \quad (34b)$$

The chirality projectors are invariant under multiplication by γ^5 , in view of the relation $\gamma^5(1 \pm \gamma^5)/2 = \pm(1 \pm \gamma^5)/2$.

For consistency reasons, the imaginary-mass Dirac propagator should be connected with a Green function,

$$S' = \gamma^0 \frac{1}{E - H'}, \quad (35)$$

where E is the energy argument of the Green function and H' is the imaginary-mass Dirac Hamiltonian. In momentum space, we can replace H' by $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{k} + i \beta m$. An elementary calculation then shows that

$$S'(k) = \frac{1}{\not{k} - i m} = \frac{\not{k} + i m}{k^2 + m^2}. \quad (36)$$

Introducing the $i\epsilon$ prescription as before, we find that

$$S'(k) = \frac{1}{\not{k} - i(m + i\epsilon)} = \frac{\not{k} + i m}{k^2 + m^2 + i \epsilon}. \quad (37)$$

Having determined the propagator, let us briefly comment on the non-invariance of the imaginary-mass Dirac

Hamiltonian under time reversal. Indeed, time reversal exchanges the in- and out-states of a process. In the calculation of a cross section, one has to square an invariant amplitude, which also exchanges in- and out-states, and leads to the occurrence of a propagator of the form

$$\gamma^0 S'^+(k) \gamma^0 = \frac{\not{k} - i m}{k^2 + m^2 + i \epsilon}, \quad (38)$$

which is obtained from (37) under the replacement $i m \rightarrow -i m$. In the time-reversed Hamiltonian, according to Ref. [40], the same replacement takes place. So, the non-invariance under time reversal of the imaginary-mass Dirac equation does not necessarily lead to an inconsistent formalism within field theory.

IV. INVERSION OF THE MASS TERM

It is instructive to consider the Hamiltonian which is obtained from the imaginary-mass Dirac Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) by the replacement $m \rightarrow -\tilde{m}$, which amounts to an inversion of the sign of the mass term,

$$H'' = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} - i \beta \tilde{m}, \quad (39)$$

A preliminary remark is in order. Within \mathcal{PT} symmetric quantum mechanics [30–38], the one-dimensional quantum mechanical Hamiltonians $h' = -\partial_x^2 + i|G|x^3$ and $h'' = -\partial_x^2 - i|G|x^3$ (with x being the coordinate) have been used as paradigmatic examples of an anharmonic (cubic) oscillator with imaginary coupling $i|G|$. The Hamiltonians h' and h'' have the same spectrum [31, 33, 37, 38], and moreover, the eigenvalues can be shown to be analytic functions in the complex G plane where $iG = \sqrt{g}$, and the g plane has a branch cut along the negative real axis.

As is to be expected, the Hamiltonians H' and H'' have the same spectrum, because H'' fulfills the same algebraic relations (9a) and (9b) as H' . Moreover, the plane-wave eigenstates of H'' are solutions of the covariant equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + i\tilde{m}) \psi(x) = 0, \quad (40)$$

where

$$\Psi(x) = U_{\pm}''(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad \Phi(x) = V_{\pm}''(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \quad (41)$$

for positive-energy and negative-energy states, respectively. We find

$$U_+''(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{E - i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \\ \sqrt{\frac{E + i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42a)$$

$$U_-''(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{E - i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \\ -\sqrt{\frac{E + i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42b)$$

The negative-energy eigenstates are given as

$$V_+''(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{E+i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \\ -\sqrt{\frac{E-i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_+(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (43a)$$

$$V_-''(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\frac{E+i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \\ \sqrt{\frac{E-i\tilde{m}}{2|\vec{k}|}} a_-(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (43b)$$

The states are normalized with respect to the condition

$$U_+''(\vec{k}) U_+''(\vec{k}) = U_-''(\vec{k}) U_-''(\vec{k}) = 1, \quad (44a)$$

$$V_+''(\vec{k}) V_+''(\vec{k}) = V_-''(\vec{k}) V_-''(\vec{k}) = 1. \quad (44b)$$

We normalize the \mathcal{U}'' and \mathcal{V}'' bispinors according to

$$\mathcal{U}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) = \left(\frac{|\vec{k}|}{m}\right)^{1/2} U_\sigma''(\vec{k}), \quad (45a)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) = \left(\frac{|\vec{k}|}{m}\right)^{1/2} V_\sigma''(\vec{k}), \quad (45b)$$

and obtain the following two relations,

$$\sum_\sigma (-\sigma) \mathcal{U}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) \gamma^5 = \frac{k - i\tilde{m}}{2\tilde{m}}, \quad (46a)$$

$$\sum_\sigma (-\sigma) \mathcal{V}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) \gamma^5 = \frac{k + i\tilde{m}}{2\tilde{m}}. \quad (46b)$$

These are the analogues of Eqs. (31a) and (31b) and differ from Eqs. (31a) and (31b) by the replacement $m \rightarrow -\tilde{m}$ in the numerator. However, in the denominator no change takes place, because the denominator is obtained as $\sqrt{m^2} \rightarrow \sqrt{\tilde{m}^2} = \tilde{m}$. The field operator is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\tilde{m}}{E'} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left[b'_\sigma(k) \mathcal{U}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} \right. \\ \left. + d'^+_\sigma(k) \mathcal{V}_\sigma''(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

with $E' = \sqrt{k^2 - \tilde{m}^2}$, and with an obvious identification of the field operators according to Eq. (27). The nonvanishing anticommutators read as follows,

$$\{b'_\sigma(k), b'^+_\rho(k')\} = (-\sigma) (2\pi)^3 \frac{E'}{\tilde{m}} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \delta_{\sigma\rho}, \quad (48a)$$

$$\{d'_\sigma(k), d'^+_\rho(k')\} = (-\sigma) (2\pi)^3 \frac{E'}{\tilde{m}} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \delta_{\sigma\rho}. \quad (48b)$$

These imply that the inversion of the mass term does not change the fact that again, *right-handed particle* and *left-handed antiparticle* states acquire a negative norm. It is very instructive to clarify by an *explicit, detailed calculation* that the inversion of the mass term does not change the pattern by which helicity components are suppressed for particle and antiparticle states.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the current work, we investigate the relativistic (tachyonic) quantum theory defined by the Hamiltonian $H' = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + i\beta m$, which is obtained from the ordinary Dirac Hamiltonian by the simple replacement $m \rightarrow im$. In Sec. II, we show that the Hamiltonian H' is pseudo-Hermitian and has an additional quasi-pseudo-Hermitian property given in Eq. (9b). Eigenvalues come in a specific structure in the complex plane. Namely, if E is a resonance eigenvalue, so is E^* , $-E$, and $-E^*$. This pattern is manifest in the spectrum calculated for the tachyonic Dirac Hamiltonian H_5 in Ref. [2] and in the spectrum of H' calculated here. Plane-wave solutions of the imaginary-mass Dirac equation are given in Eqs. (19) and (20).

In Sec. III, we complement recent work on the tachyonic Dirac Hamiltonian [2] and discuss the quantization of the spin one-half theory defined by the imaginary-mass Dirac Hamiltonian. We find helicity-dependent anticommutators as given in Eq. (28). For both the imaginary-mass as well as the tachyonic Dirac Hamiltonian, the one-particle states of right-handed helicity acquire a negative norm and can be excluded from the physical spectrum by a Gupta-Bleuler type condition. Likewise, antiparticle states of left-handed helicity are excluded from the physical spectrum. Compact representations are found for the spin sums (31) which enter the field anticommutator and the propagator. In Sec. IV, we find that an inversion of the mass term does not change the fact that only left-handed helicity is allowed for particles described by a tachyonic generalization of the Dirac equation, and only right-handed helicity for antiparticles.

Obviously, the left-handedness of particle states and the right-handedness of antiparticles states imply that both the tachyonic Dirac equation as well as the imaginary-mass Dirac equation represent candidates for the description of neutrinos, if improved experimental techniques [10, 18, 19, 41, 42] finally allow us to decide if neutrinos propagate at superluminal or subluminal speeds, which would amount to deciding whether the neutrino mass square is positive or negative [9–16].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSF and by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (precision

measurement grant). The author acknowledges helpful

conversations with B. J. Wundt and I. Nándori.

-
- [1] U. D. Jentschura, B. J. Wundt, *Pseudo-Hermitian Quantum Dynamics of Tachyonic Spin-1/2 Particles*, to appear in J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. within a special issue on “Quantum Physics with non-Hermitian Operators”, e-print arXiv:1110.4171v3.
 - [2] U. D. Jentschura, B. J. Wundt, Localizability of Tachyonic Particles and Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1894.
 - [3] O. M. P. Bilaniuk, V. K. Deshpande, E. C. G. Sudarshan, “Meta” Relativity, Am. J. Phys. 30 (1962) 718–723.
 - [4] G. Feinberg, Possibility of Faster-Than-Light Particles, Phys. Rev. 159 (1967) 1089–1105.
 - [5] M. E. Arons, E. C. G. Sudarshan, Lorentz Invariance, Local Field Theory, and Faster than Light Particles, Phys. Rev. 173 (1968) 1622–1628.
 - [6] J. Dhar, E. C. G. Sudarshan, Quantum Field Theory of Interacting Tachyons, Phys. Rev. 174 (1968) 1808–1815.
 - [7] O.-M. Bilaniuk, E. C. G. Sudarshan, Causality and Space-like Signals, Nature (London) 223 (1969) 386–387.
 - [8] G. Feinberg, Lorentz invariance of tachyon theories, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 1651–1660.
 - [9] see the URL <http://cupp.oulu.fi/neutrino/nd-mass.html>.
 - [10] R. G. H. Robertson, T. J. Bowles, G. J. Stephenson, D. L. Wark, J. F. Wilkerson, D. A. Knapp, Limit on $\bar{\nu}_e$ mass from observation of the β decay of molecular tritium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 957–960.
 - [11] K. Assamagan, C. Brönnimann, M. Daum, H. Forrer, R. Frosch, P. Gheno, R. Horisberger, M. Janousch, P.-R. Kettle, T. Spirig, C. Wigger, Measurement of the muon momentum in pion decay at rest using a surface muon beam, Phys. Lett. B 335 (1994) 231–236.
 - [12] W. Stoeffl, D. J. Decman, Anomalous Structure in the Beta Decay of Gaseous Molecular Tritium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3237–3240.
 - [13] K. Assamagan, C. Brönnimann, M. Daum, H. Forrer, R. Frosch, P. Gheno, R. Horisberger, M. Janousch, P. R. Kettle, T. Spirig, C. Wigger, Upper limit of the muon-neutrino mass and charged-pion mass from momentum analysis of a surface muon beam, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 6065–6077.
 - [14] C. Weinheimer, B. Degen, A. Bleile, J. Bonn, L. Bornschein, O. Kazachenko, A. Kovalik, E. Otten, High precision measurement of the tritium β spectrum near its endpoint and upper limit on the neutrino mass, Phys. Lett. B 460 (1999) 219–226.
 - [15] V. M. Lobashev, V. N. Aseev, A. I. Belevsev, A. I. Berlev, E. V. Geraskin, A. A. Golubev, O. V. Kazachenko, Y. E. Kuznetsov, R. P. Ostroumov, L. A. Ryvkis, B. E. Stern, N. A. Titov, S. V. Zadorozhny, Y. I. Zakharov, Direct search for the mass of neutrino and anomaly in the tritium beta-spectrum, Phys. Lett. B 460 (1999) 227–235.
 - [16] A. I. Belevsev, E. V. Geraskin, B. L. Zhuikov, S. V. Zadorozhny, O. V. Kazachenko, V. M. Kohanuk, N. A. Lihovid, V. M. Lobasheva, A. A. Nozik, V. I. Parfenov, A. K. Skasyrskaya, E. A. Sudachkov, N. A. Titov, V. G. Usanov, Investigation of Space-Charge Effects in Gaseous Tritium as a Source of Distortions of the Beta Spectrum Observed in the Troitsk Neutrino-Mass Experiment, Phys. At. Nucl. 71 (2008) 427–436.
 - [17] G. R. Kalbfleisch, N. Baggett, E. C. Fowler, J. Al-spector, Experimental Comparison of Neutrino, Antineutrino, and Muon Velocities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1361–1364.
 - [18] P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Measurement of neutrino velocity with the MINOS detectors and NuMI neutrino beam, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 072005.
 - [19] M. Antonello *et al.*, ICARUS Collaboration, *Measurement of the neutrino velocity with the ICARUS detector at the CNGS beam*, e-print arXiv:1203.3433v3.
 - [20] J. Ciborowski, Hypothesis of Tachyonic Neutrinos, Acta Phys. Polon. B 29 (1998) 113–121.
 - [21] M. Dracos, on behalf of the OPERA Collaboration, *The neutrino velocity measurement by OPERA experiment*, talk given at the XXV International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, June 03–09, 2012, Kyoto, Japan, page 15. The slides are available from http://regmedia.co.uk/2012/06/11/neutrinos_not_ftl_slides.pdf.
 - [22] A. Chodos, A. I. Hauser, V. A. Kostelecky, The Neutrino as a Tachyon, Phys. Lett. B 150 (1985) 431–435.
 - [23] A. Chodos, V. A. Kostelecky, R. Potting, E. Gates, Null experiments for neutrino masses, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7 (1992) 467–476.
 - [24] A. Chodos, V. A. Kostelecky, Nuclear null tests for space-like neutrinos, Phys. Lett. B 336 (1994) 295–302.
 - [25] J. Rembielinski, Tachyons and Preferred Frames, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 12 (1997) 1677.
 - [26] T. Chang, *A new Dirac-type equation for tachyonic neutrinos*, e-print arXiv:hep-th/0011087.
 - [27] T. Chang, *Parity Violation and a Preferred Frame*, e-print arXiv:hep-ph/0204002.
 - [28] J. Bandukwala, D. Shay, Theory of free, spin-1/2 tachyons, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 889–895.
 - [29] W. Pauli, On Dirac’s new method of field quantization, Rev. Mod. Phys. 15 (1943) 175–207.
 - [30] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having \mathcal{PT} -Symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5243–5246.
 - [31] C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, Large-order perturbation theory for a non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian, J. Math. Phys. 40 (1999) 4616–4621.
 - [32] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, P. N. Meisinger, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum mechanics, J. Math. Phys. 40 (1999) 2201–2229.
 - [33] C. M. Bender, E. J. Weniger, Numerical evidence that the perturbation expansion for a non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonian is Stieltjes, J. Math. Phys. 42 (2001) 2167–2183.
 - [34] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, H. F. Jones, Complex Extension of Quantum Mechanics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 270401.
 - [35] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermiticity versus \mathcal{PT} -Symmetry III: Equivalence of pseudo-hermiticity and the presence of antilinear symmetries, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 3944–3951.
 - [36] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermiticity and Generalized

- PT - and CPT -Symmetries, J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003) 974–989.
- [37] U. D. Jentschura, A. Surzhykov, J. Zinn-Justin, Unified Treatment of Even and Odd Anharmonic Oscillators of Arbitrary Degree, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 011601.
 - [38] U. D. Jentschura, A. Surzhykov, J. Zinn-Justin, Multi-Instantons and Exact Results III: Unified Description of the Resonances of Even and Odd Anharmonic Oscillators, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 325 (2010) 1135–1172.
 - [39] C. Itzykson, J. B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
 - [40] C. M. Bender, J. Brod, A. Refig, M. E. Reuter, The \mathcal{C} operator in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric quantum theories, J. Phys. A 37 (2004) 10139.
 - [41] R. M. Bionta, Detection of a Rare Event on 23 February 1987 by the Neutrino Radiation Detector Under Mont Blanc, JETP Lett. 45 (1987) 593–595.
 - [42] T. Adam *et al.*, OPERA Collaboration, *Measurement of the neutrino velocity with the OPERA detector in the CNGS beam*, e-print arXiv:1109.4897v4.